



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,918	02/03/2004	Julian R. Cudmore	102.0027 US	1698
7590	01/23/2006		EXAMINER	
Schlumberger Technology Corporation Schlumberger Reservoir Completions 14910 Airline Road P.O. Box 1590 Rosharon, TX 77583-1590			TSAY, FRANK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,918	CUDMORE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank S. Tsay	3672	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2004.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, the step of evaluating measured data.....according to an optimization model" should be more positively define, since an "optimization model" is merely a numerical rule based algorism, which by itself has no computational functions.

Claim 2, line 1, after "wherein", the language "operating" should be "the step of operating" or "operating the artificial lift system" .

Claims 16, line 1, after "wherein", the language "evaluating", should be "the step of evaluating".

Claim 17-20, line one, the language "adjusting" should be "the step of adjusting".

Claim 21 is considered indefinite for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements between the "electric submersible pump", the "well modeling module", and the "sensor system", such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Claim 23 is indefinite, the structural cooperative relationships of the "validation module" and the "well modeling module" is lacking.

Claims 29-34 are indefinite since it appears to be incorporating a method step into an apparatus without a structural support.

Art Unit: 3672

Claim 35, line 6, the language "measured data" should be "the measured production data"; the step of checking is incomplete, since there is no previous step of "calculating above the pump gradient value"; the step of "matching is also incomplete for the similar reason. The language "checking" and "matching" are also considered indefinite, since checking or matching can be a simple visual observation without taking any physical or mechanical actions.

Claim 36, the language "matching" is incomplete.

The step of determining is also indefinite, since it is unclear what defines the "unwanted discrepancies". Furthermore the step of "checking" is also indefinite, since checking can be a simple visual observation without taking any physical or mechanical actions.

Claim 36, the language "matching" is incomplete.

Claim 39, the steps of "checking" and "optimizing" are incomplete for lacking structural support. Since there is no indications in the preamble that an electric submersible pumping system by itself has any instrumental utility to support such operations.

Claims 40-45, the language "optimizing" is incomplete.

Claims 46-49, the language "checking" is incomplete"

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-49 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank S. Tsay whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 3672

7038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 7:30am-5:00 pm,
2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on (571)272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Frank S Tsay
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3672

1/18/06